

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/002,549 11/02/2001		Masahiko Hirose	04558.035002	5660		
22511	7590 03/23/2004			EXAMINER		
OSHA NO'			SORKIN, DAVID L			
HOUSTON, TX 77010				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1723		
				DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N		Applicant(s)	<u>~</u>				
		1	0.						
	Office Action Comment	10/002,549		HIROSE, MASAHIKO)				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		David L. Sorkii		1723					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cov	er sheet with the o	correspondence addre	∌SS				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, ho reply within the statutory i riod will apply and will expi atute, cause the applicatio	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this committed ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	5 March 2004.							
2a)⊠		This action is non-f	inal.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□	Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.							
10))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·			` ,				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been recents have been recoriority documents reau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applicati have been receive .2(a)).	ion No. <u>09/452,731</u> . ed in this National Sta	яge				
Assach	4/2)								
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	41 [Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	_ Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5) L	7	atent Application (PTO-15	2)				

Application/Control Number: 10/002,549

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. On page 1, line 36, "0.2m²" should read - - 0.2m³ - -.

Claim Objections

2. In claim 1, line 3, the phrase "containing an amino group" is objected to and should be rephrased. While a starting material for the membrane may contain an amino group, the reaction changes the amino group chemically; therefor the product membrane which is being claimed does not include an amino group. As explained on page 4, lines 8-9 of the specification, "When an acid halide group reacts with the amine compound, a <u>polyamide</u> membrane is obtained". In summary, "amino" should be changed to - - amide - -.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 has been amended to recite "having a salt rejection of no less than 34% and no more than 80%" (new portion underlined). There is insufficient support in the originally filed disclosure for this new limitation. Nowhere in

Application/Control Number: 10/002,549

Art Unit: 1723

the original specification is the concept of a minimum salt rejection above which salt rejection should be presented. Only keeping the salt rejection low (not high) is discussed. While it is noted that examples of salt rejection being within the claimed range are presented in table 1 of the disclosure, it is not disclosed that these examples are consistent with the other requirements of claim 1, particularly the requirement of "a polyvinyl alcohol based aromatic amine compound having at least two amino groups". While it is understood that the compound used in the example of table 1 has at least one amino group, it is not clear that at has at least two amino groups as claimed. The original specification merely states (in the paragraph originally beginning on page 7 line 18), "amine compound having a side chain amino group represent by Formula 5". Furthermore, as held in In re Smith 173 USPQ 679, 683 (CCPA 1972) "it cannot be said that such a subgenus is necessarily described by a genus encompassing it and a species upon which it reads". See also In re Lukach 169 USPQ 795 (CCPA 1971) and Purdue Pharma L.P. v. Faulding Inc. 56 USPQ2d 1481, 1487 (Fed. Cir. 2000). In summary, nothing in the originally filed specification disclosed the concept of a minimum salt rejection being associated with the invention. Similarly it is considered that new claim 7 has insufficient support in the specification as filed for the additional reason that the concept of a maximum permeable flux is not discussed in the specification.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,549

Art Unit: 1723

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Sohn

David Sorkin

David L. Sorkin Examiner Art Unit 1723

Page 5